

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,592	02/13/2004	Robby Lemmens	1316N-001695	9314
27572	7590 05/13/2005		EXAMINER	
HARNESS,	DICKEY & PIERCE,	WILLIAMS, THOMAS J		
P.O. BOX 828		Aprilation	DA DED AUD (DED	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3683	
			DATE MAIL ED. 05/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	:
\boldsymbol{U}	
_	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/779,592	LEMMENS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas J. Williams	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ma	arch 2005.					
	_					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 21-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-20</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
222 m. 2 m. 2 m. 2 de dans de dans de la constant de						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 10/779,592 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

1. Acknowledgment is made in the receipt of the amendment filed March 23, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-12 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,548,389 to Smith et al.

Re-claim 1, Smith et al. disclose a damper, comprising: a pressure tube 11 that forms a working chamber; a damper piston 13; a piston rod 12; a rod guide assembly comprising: a main housing (interpreted as a part of the pressure tube 11 that surrounds the guide assembly, the guide assembly includes various elements disposed between an end portion adjacent element 21 and indented portion 35); an annular bearing 50 is disposed between the main housing and the piston rod 12; a first seal 22 is disposed between a first component (interpreted as the portion between portion 24 and element 21) of the rod guide assembly, the first seal is disposed on a first side of the bearing 50; a second seal 38 is disposed between a second component the rod guide assembly and the piston rod, the second seal is disposed on a second side of the bearing opposite the first side; a fluid chamber 42 is disposed between the first and second seal; a lubrication channel extends from the fluid chamber around the bearing to the second seal; a guide piston 40 is moveable with respect to the fluid chamber to vary the volume of the fluid chamber, see column 4 lines 21-52.

Application/Control Number: 10/779,592 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

Re-claims 2, 6 and 10, the first component is the main housing, as interpreted as the wall between indent 24 and element 21.

Re-claims 3, 4, 7, 8, 11 and 12, the second component is the guide piston 40.

Re-claims 5 and 9, the guide piston 40 slidingly engages the main housing.

Re-claim 21, a retainer 35 or 60 is disposed between the guide piston 40 and the damper piston 13, the retainer provides a stop for the guide piston.

Re-claims 22 and 23, the retainer is attached to the main housing and the pressure tube.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al. in view of US 4,360,192 to Ishida.

Application/Control Number: 10/779,592

Art Unit: 3683

Smith et al. fail to teach the damper having a dust wiper disposed between the rod guide assembly and the piston rod. Ishida teaches a dust wiper/seal 21 disposed between a rod guide assembly and a piston rod. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the damper of Smith et al. with a dust wiper/seal as taught by Ishida, thus preventing entry of foreign material into the damper chamber.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 13-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/779,592

Art Unit: 3683

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

10. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thomas Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-7128.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM. The

examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dave Bucci, can be reached at 571-272-7099. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-6584.

Thomas Williams Patent examiner Page 5

TJW

May 11, 2005

Thomas William

Au 3683

5-11-05